# **Meeting Minutes**

daa 🚝

T: 353 1 814 1111 F. 353 1 814 4120

www.dublinairportauthority.com

daa

Head Office: Dublin Airport, Ireland.

**MEETING**: Dublin Airport Environmental Working Group

**LOCATION**: Online via Zoom

**DATE**: 10<sup>th</sup> March 2021

### **ATTENDEES**:

Name	Position/Organisation	Initial
Dr. Danny O'Hare	Chairperson	Chair
Janine Davidson	daa	JD
Michelle Molloy	daa	MM
Seamus Higgins	daa	SH
Ciaran Moore	daa	CM
Claire McVeigh	Fingal County Council	CMV
Patrick Fagan	Santry Residents Association	PF
George Mongey	Swords Tidy Towns	GM
John Harris	St. Margaret's The Ward Residents Group	JH
David Kelly	Portmarnock Community Association	DK
Myles Caulfield	Rivervalley Rathingle Residents Association	MC
Andrew Smith	Offington Residents Association	AS
Caroline Molloy	Santry Community Resource Centre	CMY

### **GUEST ATTENDEES:**

Martin Doherty	daa	MD
Ian Clarke	daa	IC
David Currie	Envirosuite	DC
Peter Rafano	Envirosuite	PR

### **APOLOGIES:**

Darren Pollard	Irish Aviation Authority	DP
Brian McDonagh	Fingal County Council	BMD
Gerry Duggan	Malahide Community Forum	GD
Sandra Curtin	Irish Coaches	SC

Document Classification: Class 1 - General

Introduction	Action Owner
Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting.	
<b>Chair</b> advised of code of conduct – no attendees other than members and invitees present –	
confirmed by all.	
<b>Chair</b> advised of change to running order and SH would now make first presentation to meeting.	
1. Apologies	Action Owner
Absentees as noted above.	
7&8. Air and Water Quality Reports – Presented by SH	Action Owner
SH presented January and February 2021 Air and Water Quality reports.	
PF asked for clarity on the Cuckoo stream references; SH advised the different numbers	
referred to various sampling points on the stream. <b>PF</b> requested an update on the	
contamination issue. <b>SH</b> advised that <b>FCC</b> had issued an enforcement notice to the third	
party.	
JH gueried why PM2.5 is not included in the report and asked if we could approach EPA	
for the information. <b>MD</b> advised that these details, along with other relevant	
information pertaining to Dublin Airport and the wider North County Dublin area, are	DAEWG Secretariat
available on the EPA website. <b>DAEWG Secretariat</b> will send a link to members.	D/ IE V O OCO CCC I ICC
2. Webtrak Presentation – Presented by DC and PR, Envirosuite	Action Owner
DC provided a Webtrak demonstration.	
DK asked what measurement level is used. DC confirmed it is LAeq and dB.	
<ul> <li>DK asked what measurement level is used. DC confirmed it is LAeq and dB.</li> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone</li> </ul>	
·	
PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package,</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time possible. MM advised that there will not be a scenario at Dublin where it is completely</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time possible. MM advised that there will not be a scenario at Dublin where it is completely live, and said other airports operated with lags, including Heathrow where there is a 20-</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time possible. MM advised that there will not be a scenario at Dublin where it is completely live, and said other airports operated with lags, including Heathrow where there is a 20-minute delay. PR confirmed 20-minute delay at Heathrow, stating that trials for</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time possible. MM advised that there will not be a scenario at Dublin where it is completely live, and said other airports operated with lags, including Heathrow where there is a 20-minute delay. PR confirmed 20-minute delay at Heathrow, stating that trials for anything under that resulted in errors in data.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time possible. MM advised that there will not be a scenario at Dublin where it is completely live, and said other airports operated with lags, including Heathrow where there is a 20-minute delay. PR confirmed 20-minute delay at Heathrow, stating that trials for anything under that resulted in errors in data.</li> <li>MC queried if the system would monitor the aircraft at takeoff and landing as South</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PF stated that it appears to be a good system but hopes it does not replace the phone complaint system which is used by the elderly residents. IC confirmed that while Webtrak will be the preferred method of complaint going forward, it will not replace the existing system, but will be supplementary.</li> <li>JH queried if information like LAmax and durations will be shown like at Heathrow. IC advised that Webtrak is a new system at Dublin and time needs to be given for people to get used to using it. daa will consider feedback from users before making changes to the system in the future.</li> <li>DK asked if the system is live yet. IC advised that following demonstrations with ANCA and IAA later this month, the aim is to launch on April 12<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>JH queried if the system will be in real time and if it is the same package as Heathrow. IC advised that system is real time with one-hour delay. PR advised it is same package, but delivery of data is specific to each airport. JH asked if there will always be a one-hour delay. IC advised the intention is to improve over time to the shortest lag time possible. MM advised that there will not be a scenario at Dublin where it is completely live, and said other airports operated with lags, including Heathrow where there is a 20-minute delay. PR confirmed 20-minute delay at Heathrow, stating that trials for anything under that resulted in errors in data.</li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>Webtrak to show how the system records noise down to 300ft.</li> <li>JH queried when the noise monitoring starts as demonstration doesn't show decibels on takeoff. DC advised noise levels are recorded at noise monitoring terminals.</li> </ul>	
IC suggested the meeting continued with the Noise Report. Chair agreed. Chair and members expressed thanks to Envirosuite.	
6. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring Report – presented by CM	Action Owner
<b>CM</b> provided provisional data for January and February and advised final reports will issue to DAEWG members when available. <b>CM</b> presented the 2020 annual report.	
<ul> <li>PF queried why no complaints recorded for Santry. Advised he made complaint in December regarding noise for one hour. CM advised that he contact PF and left message on his phone. PF advised he will check this on his return home.</li> <li>JH stated that with flight numbers/pax numbers reduced by 90%, complaints are only down to 50%. He stated that complaints still high and noise in some cases worse now as ambient noise low too. JH advised he is hearing this from people in the area too.</li> </ul>	
3. Minutes of previous meeting	Action Owner
<b>Chair</b> requested approval of Meeting Minutes of 13 <sup>th</sup> November 2019; Minutes approved.	
4. Matters Arising	Action Owner
Chair queried matters arising from minutes, MM advised responses outlined in daa update.	
5. daa Update – presented by MM	Action Owner
5. daa Update – presented by MM  MM advised on updates from previous minutes in November 2019. MM advised of change to format going forward. To use time at meeting more efficiently, reports will be forwarded in advance of meetings for members to review. Any issues raised will be responded to in the interim between meetings and only outstanding actions will appear in the updates going forward. MM stated that she hoped that members feel this is a good way to progress and asked that any suggestions be forwarded to daa. MM presented daa update.	Action Owner
MM advised on updates from previous minutes in November 2019. MM advised of change to format going forward. To use time at meeting more efficiently, reports will be forwarded in advance of meetings for members to review. Any issues raised will be responded to in the interim between meetings and only outstanding actions will appear in the updates going forward. MM stated that she hoped that members feel this is a good way to progress and asked	Action Owner  DAEWG Secretariat
<ul> <li>MM advised on updates from previous minutes in November 2019. MM advised of change to format going forward. To use time at meeting more efficiently, reports will be forwarded in advance of meetings for members to review. Any issues raised will be responded to in the interim between meetings and only outstanding actions will appear in the updates going forward. MM stated that she hoped that members feel this is a good way to progress and asked that any suggestions be forwarded to daa. MM presented daa update.</li> <li>PF queried if refurbished laptop donations can be made only by companies or by members of the public also. MM advised that members of the public can donate as well, and while initial phase of the initiative is over, daa will send details so PF should be</li> </ul>	DAEWG
<ul> <li>MM advised on updates from previous minutes in November 2019. MM advised of change to format going forward. To use time at meeting more efficiently, reports will be forwarded in advance of meetings for members to review. Any issues raised will be responded to in the interim between meetings and only outstanding actions will appear in the updates going forward. MM stated that she hoped that members feel this is a good way to progress and asked that any suggestions be forwarded to daa. MM presented daa update.</li> <li>PF queried if refurbished laptop donations can be made only by companies or by members of the public also. MM advised that members of the public can donate as well, and while initial phase of the initiative is over, daa will send details so PF should be able to donate.</li> <li>Fingal County Council presentation on Dublin Airport Local Area Plan</li> </ul>	DAEWG Secretariat
<ul> <li>MM advised on updates from previous minutes in November 2019. MM advised of change to format going forward. To use time at meeting more efficiently, reports will be forwarded in advance of meetings for members to review. Any issues raised will be responded to in the interim between meetings and only outstanding actions will appear in the updates going forward. MM stated that she hoped that members feel this is a good way to progress and asked that any suggestions be forwarded to daa. MM presented daa update.</li> <li>PF queried if refurbished laptop donations can be made only by companies or by members of the public also. MM advised that members of the public can donate as well, and while initial phase of the initiative is over, daa will send details so PF should be able to donate.</li> <li>Pingal County Council presentation on Dublin Airport Local Area Plan - presented by CMV</li> </ul> CMV presented on the DALAP and advised she will provide the slides and link to site through	DAEWG Secretariat Action Owner

covered by the upgrade and advised she will provide the link. PF queried response from daa regarding planning number F20A/0394. PF stated his disappointed with the response regarding negligible energy requirements and felt that there should be energy options for improving on small things such as solar panels. MM advised that we had consulted with our planners and wider team, and that because this application was for a bus shelter, solar panels may not be appropriate because of scale. MM said that members should continue to put forward suggestions on how daa can improve and they will certainly be considered. 10. Dublin Airport Planning Applications **Action Owner** Chair suggested members review applications and if any queries arise, send to daa for response. 11. Possible rescheduling of DAEWG meetings JD advised that daa propose to move the DAEWG meetings in order to provide all relevant documentation a week in advance of meetings, suggested dates: 9th June changed to 16th June 8th September changed to 22nd September 10th November changed to 17th November **DAEWG Secretariat** will circulate the dates by email to all members for review before updating **DAEWG** invites. Secretariat 12. AOB **Action Owner** • **PF** queried why there is no noise contour map for 16/34. **CM** advised that the contour is there and visible on a large-scale map. MM advised that these END contours were last produced and published in 2016, and they are updated every five years. **MM** advised the reason the contour appears small around the crosswind is because most of the noise is generated by 10/28 operations. **PF** stated that in 10 years, over 90,000 flights passed over Santry and residents are still suffering with noise levels. MM said that, without meaning to diminish the impact of noise at Santry, the END contours are a reflection of actual noise levels and the crosswind runway which impacts Santry represents a very small percentage of overall movements. MM advised that when North Runway comes into operation, noise levels at Santry will reduce because the crosswind runway will only be used when necessary, less than 1%. **GM** advised that a litter issue on the approach roads to airport has been raised to him through the tidy town committee. MM advised that most roads, including the R132, Residents Forrest Road and most of the R108, are under FCC control. Some roads, including the two North Runway viewing areas and newly built section of the R108, are under Dublin Airport control. **CMV** suggested that **GM** provides a map of the locations in question. **GM** to revert to **DAEWG Secretariat** with locations. JH advised that the viewing areas around the airport are also littered. MM advised that daa has a contractor who regularly clears the viewing areas and undertakes litterpicking along local roads for which daa are responsible regularly, but this is an ongoing issue. Chair queried if AOB, and it was agreed that any further queries could be submitted to **DAEWG Secretariat Chair** expressed his thanks to the group and advised the date of the next meeting.

## Next Meeting: 16th June 2021

Document Classification: Class 1 - General