

MEETING:	Dublin Airport Environmental Working Group
LOCATION:	Carlton Hotel, Dublin Airport
DATE:	26 February 2025, 5pm

## ATTENDEES

Name	Position/Organisation	Initial
Dr. Danny O'Hare	Chairperson	Chair
Janine Davidson	Secretariat	JD
Michelle Molloy	Community Engagement Manager, daa	MM
John Harris	St. Margaret's The Ward Residents Group	ΙΗ
Gerry Duggan	Malahide Community Forum	GD
Gerry Sweeney	Forrest Great Community Group	GS
George Mongey	Swords Tidy Towns	GM
Xavier Oh	Noise and Flight Track Monitoring, daa	ХО
Ian Clarke	Noise and Flight Track Monitoring, daa	IC
Aine Kirrane	Environmental Sustainability Officer, daa	AK
Colm Kelly	Planner, Fingal County Council	СК
Keith McEvoy	AirNav Ireland	KME
Audrey Creedon	AirNav Ireland	AC

## **APOLOGIES & ABSENTEES**

Pat Suttle	Portmarnock Community Association	PS
Patrick Fagan	Santry Residents Association	PF
Myles Caulfield	River Valley Rathingle Residents Association	MC
Brian McDonagh	Fingal County Council	BMD

	Action
<b>Chair</b> welcomed attendees to the meeting and introduced Colm Kelly who was representing FCC, and Keith McEvoy and Audrey Creedon who were representing AirNav Ireland.	
1. Apologies	Action
JD advised Myles Caulfield had sent apologies.	
2. Minutes of Previous Meeting	Action
<b>Chair</b> requested approval of meeting minutes of 4 December 2024; Minutes were approved.	
3. Matters Arising	Action
<b>GS</b> requested further information on the previous Earth Berm presentation, advising that he had received queries from residents including confirmation of the ICO rating used, the height and type of land used for the study, and which aircraft were used in the modelling. <b>IC</b> reiterated the parameters of the conducted study and committed to revert on other queries for the next meeting. <b>IC</b> confirmed that daa has now proceeded to the next stage	daa
and updates will be provided to the group in due course. MM advised that other matters will be covered as part of the meeting updates.	
	Action
<b>MM</b> advised that other matters will be covered as part of the meeting updates.	Action

MM reconfirmed the agreed location of the DAEWG mobile noise monitor and advised	
that the location schedule for the forthcoming additional two temporary noise monitor	
assigned to the DAEWG needed to be discussed. Members agreed with the proposed	
initial locations of Rivermeade and Ballystrahan, and ${f MM}$ advised that the listing will be	
included in meeting updates going forward.	
5&6. Air and Water Quality Monitoring	Action
AK presented air and water quality updates, showing the results of monitoring over recent	
months, and reverted on actions including monitored use of fertiliser on daa lands such as	
when needed to reinstate grass areas. AK advised on the Revised Ambient Air Quality	
Directive and welcomed feedback from the group on how to present this going forward.	
<b>GS</b> queried the water testing and if assurances can be provided for local residents	
regarding water courses and run off impacting local areas. <b>AK</b> advised on the PFAS	
monitoring programme and procedures including sampling and identification of pollutant	
linkages (sources, pathways and receptors) on site and the related reporting, and	
committed to revert with further information for the next meeting. <b>IC</b> noted that	daa
improvements are included in the Drainage Master Plan that was previously presented to	
the group, which is still in the planning system. GM queried the monitoring periods. AK	daa
the group, which is still in the planning system. <b>GM</b> queried the monitoring periods. <b>AK</b> advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule.	daa
	daa
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule.	daa Action
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track	
<ul> <li>advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule.</li> <li>7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring</li> <li>XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related</li> </ul>	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting.	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. <b>7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring</b> <b>XO</b> presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. <b>XO</b> noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. <b>GS</b> queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. <b>7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring</b> <b>XO</b> presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. <b>XO</b> noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. <b>GS</b> queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. <b>XO</b> advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at times, the split was the expected average use. MM stated that North Runway is the	
<ul> <li>advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule.</li> <li>7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring</li> <li>XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting.</li> <li>GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at times, the split was the expected average use. MM stated that North Runway is the preferred daytime westerly departure runway whilst the South Runway is the preferred</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule.</li> <li>7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring</li> <li>XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting.</li> <li>GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at times, the split was the expected average use. MM stated that North Runway is the preferred daytime westerly departure runway, as set out in planning conditions. KME confirmed this</li> </ul>	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at times, the split was the expected average use. MM stated that North Runway is the preferred daytime westerly departure runway whilst the South Runway is the preferred daytime easterly departure runway, as set out in planning conditions. KME confirmed this use of the runways and noted that easterly departures have been higher in number	
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at times, the split was the expected average use. MM stated that North Runway is the preferred daytime westerly departure runway whilst the South Runway is the preferred daytime easterly departure runway, as set out in planning conditions. KME confirmed this use of the runways and noted that easterly departures have been higher in number recently and advised he can revert with information from the presentation that was	Action AirNav/
advised she will revert with the details of the monitoring schedule. 7. Noise and Flight Track Monitoring XO presented the monthly noise report including operations, complaints, and flight track adherence. XO noted the new swathe data (track density information) and related information and invited members to provide feedback on its inclusion to the regular reporting. GS queried the 70/30 usage split between the two runways as it appeared to be higher for NR. XO advised that the use of the runways is based on wind direction, planning conditions, and weather impact and there will be days/months where it may be higher at times, the split was the expected average use. MM stated that North Runway is the preferred daytime westerly departure runway whilst the South Runway is the preferred daytime easterly departure runway, as set out in planning conditions. KME confirmed this use of the runways and noted that easterly departures have been higher in number	Action

8. Fingal County Council Planning Applications	Action
<b>CK</b> provided an overview of the planning applications relating to Dublin Airport which	
were given to members in the pre-meeting pack. GS commented that previous requests	
for temporary noise monitoring locations were declined by commercial locations and	
suggested that grants of planning permission could include a stipulation for noise	
monitoring terminals for Dublin Airport to be allowed at these premises, if required. CK	
advised he would revert.	FCC
9. Members' Update	Action
JH noted that at the previous meeting he had requested an update on the Swords Bypass	
and the need for traffic lights at the R108 junction as a number of road traffic accidents	
have taken place. <b>CK</b> advised he will revert with an update via the Secretariat.	
10. AOB	Action

Meeting concluded at 6.50pm

Next Meeting: 18 June 2025